



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.								
10/066,475	02/01/2002	Edward Colles Nevill	1103179-0009	5943								
7470 WHITE & CASE LLP PATENT DEPARTMENT 1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036	7590 07/27/2009		<div>EXAMINER</div> <div>COULTER, KENNETH R</div> <table border="1"><thead><tr><th>ART UNIT</th><th>PAPER NUMBER</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>2454</td><td></td></tr></tbody></table> <table border="1"><thead><tr><th>MAIL DATE</th><th>DELIVERY MODE</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>07/27/2009</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></tbody></table>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	2454		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	07/27/2009	PAPER
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER											
2454												
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE											
07/27/2009	PAPER											

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/066,475	Applicant(s) NEVILL, EDWARD COLLES
Examiner Kenneth R. Coulter		Art Unit 2454	

All Participants:

(1) Kenneth R. Coulter.

(2) Andrew Fessak (Req. No. 48,528).

Date of Interview: 10 July 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Appealed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:38 pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kenneth R Coulter/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2454

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Andrew Fessak, with regard to the mandatory supplemental reissue declaration needed in the present Application, since the claims were amended in an amendment dated June 3, 2005. Applicant filed a supplemental reissue declaration on July 14, 2009.